மதுரை மாவட்டம் மருமன் எண். 8881

8881

84

Record Register of papers to be retained in the Received Letter file of the year 1824.

| No | Date | From whom received | Substance |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 7th September 1824 | Register of the Southern Provincial Court | Extract from the Proceedings of the Southern provincial Court directing the [illegible] to pay to Rawny Sevagammy [illegible] the sum of 30 pagodas per mensem commencing from the 4th of September 1824 &c |
| | Do | Resident of Travancore | Forwarding copy of a Letter from the Dewan of Travancore from which [illegible] that the claim set forth by the Triplicane [illegible] against the Travancore Government is not considered [illegible] |
| | 6th November 1824 | D. Bannerman Sub. Collector | Requesting instructions as to whether the [illegible] fixed of 211 pagodas per mensem |

| No. | Date | From whom received | Substance |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | to the family of Muttoorooga Ragoonatha Sethupathi, authorized by the Precept of the Southern Provincial Court under date the 22nd November 1822, is to be conti-nued on further security being taken |
| | 11th November 1824 | 3rd Judge of the Southern Provincial Court | Forwarding an Extract from the Proceedings of the Southern Provincial Court of 11th November 1824 requesting the Collector to suspend any further payment to the family of Muthurugia Ragunatha |

| Date | From whom received | Substance. |
|---|---|---|
| | | Nethripatty until further instructions from the Court. |
| 11th November 1824 | Collector of Tinnevelly | Forwarding copy of a letter from the Head Assistant Collector of Tinnevelly suggesting for the adjustment of the disputed boundary between Mottagam gramam and Peraiyur Zemindary. |
| 20th November 1824. | Collector of Trichinopoly | Reporting on the dispute about the possession of certain lands between the inhabitants of Manjampatti and Veria-pattiam &c. |

R

Extract from the Proceedings of the Southern Provincial Court of Appeal dated 7th September 1824.

No 3 of 1813. Read return from the Zillah Judge of Madura under date the 31st ultimo to the Court's Precept of the 25th January and to their further proceedings of the 31st May last, stating that the Petitioner Ranga... gummy Ammal had tendered sufficient security for the purpose required and that a bond for the sum of 700 Pagodas as had been taken from the Security.

2 .... The Collector in his return of the 13th day of December last to the Court's Precept of the 8th of the same month having therein stated, that the surplus amount of the Zemindaree of Ramnad was sufficient to bear the Charge of an allowance of Pagodas 300 per Mensem to

to the Petitioner Ramy Seragunny Ammachee

3 Ordered therefore under the instructions conveyed in the Precepts from the Court of Sudder Adawlut bearing date the 27th November 1813 and 4th February last that an Order be issued to the Collector of Madura directing him to pay to the Petitioner Ramy Seragunny Ammachee the sum of 300 Pags per mensem Commencing from the 1st Inst upon obtaining her receipt for the same

4 Ordered that extract of these proceedings be by Precept forwarded to the Collector of Madura for his information and guidance

Return to be made in 30 days.

(A true Extract)

A. J. Harrington

Reg.

Extract of Proceedings of the Southern Provl Court dated 7th Feb 1814

To

R. Peter Esqr.

Collector of

Madura.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 24th July, and the papers which accompanied it, I have the honor to forward to you a copy of a letter from the Dewan of Travancore to my address, by which it appears, that the claim set forth by the Teripilunee (?) Covil, against the Travancore Government is not considered admissible.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedt. Servt.

D. Newall

Resident

Shencottah.
Septr. 1824.

R

Shencottah September 1st 1824.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 2 Ultimo, giving cover to copy of a letter from Mr Peter, upon a report to him from his Davastanum Manager, stating that 925 Chuckrums is due to the Tripilaunce Pagoda, from the Sirkar of Travancore and in reply thereto I beg to inform you, that this claim is of an old standing which has lain dormant for these 77 Years. However thinking it necessary to ascertain the correctness of the Statement, I had recourse to the accounts of the Chettrom, for the preceding forty years, which do not justify in the least what the Davastom Manager alledges that the Chettrom Accountants were in the habits of settling the Accounts with the Pagoda people, and that they have occasionally paid Money in liquidation of what they were indebted. As to the claims of the Pagoda people, for what they say is due to them, and also what they state that the festivals were duly performed by them on account of this

Government

Government, no notice has been given at any time t[o]

Officers of this Government, nor is the charge borne out [in]

the Accounts of this Sirkar, yet I cannot omit confessing

that a piece of Silk and 140 fanams were duly sent from

the Pay Office, or Nittyachellam, of the Palace at

Trivandrum, to the said Pagoda, till the year 983 and

the usage of furnishing the above donation had previously

been observed during the festival of the said Pagoda.

Under these circumstances therefore

[illegible] that the Claim for the payment of the

arrears of 905 Chuckrams is not approved of, but if Her

Highness will be pleased to consent to renew the pay-

ment of the Money and the Silk for the festival f[rom]

the present year, I will do myself the honor to report

the same to you for the [illegible] of Mr. Pater, as

soon as I have received Her Highness's orders regarding

I have the honor to be &c

To

Colonel D. Newall, CB

British Resident in

Travancore.

&c &c &c

(Signed) Venkata Row

Dewan

(True copy)

D. Newall

Resident

R

The Collect^r [illegible] and [illegible]

Sir

I have the honor to bring to your notice that the monthly allowance of 300 Rupees(?) to the family of the late [illegible] R. [illegible] [illegible] are brought(?) by the precept of the Provincial Court for the Southern Division(?) [illegible] dated the 22nd November 1822 [illegible] have been paid on the 23rd instant [illegible] the authority(?) the security furnished [illegible] to request instructions as to whether the same allowance is to be continued on [illegible] security being tendered.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obed^t Servant

[illegible] Nov^r 1824

D. [illegible]
Coll^r(?)

From the Sub
Collector of Ramnad
Dated 6th November
1824

N° 3 of 1818

R

Southern Division

13

To the Collector of

Madura

Herewith you will Receive an Extract from the Proceedings of the Southern Provincial Court of this date for your information and guidance

Given under My Hand and the Seal of the Court, in the Court House at Trichinopoly this 11th day of November A D 1824 —

John Bird

3d Judge for the Regr.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Southern Provincial
Court dated 11th November 1824

R

Read letter from the Collector of
Madura under Date the 6th Instant stating
that the allowance of 300 Pag.s per mensem
authorized to be paid to the family of the late
Mootoo Vijea Ragoonada Saitoopatty will
cease on the 23rd Instant and wishing to
know whether it is to be continued or not –
The allowance in question was granted under
the Orders of the Sudr Udalut of date the
8th of August 1822 upon Security to the extent
of 7000 Pag.s having been given for eventual
payment of such Sums as might under
those Orders be paid to the family; from the
Collectors letter it appears that the full
Amount for which Security has been taken
will have been paid on the 23rd Instant,
no further payment can therefore be sanctioned

until Security for the repayment thereof shall have been given, Ordered therefore that the Collector be required to suspend any further Payment of the Allowance in question until further instructions shall have been received by him from this Court

(A true Extract.)

John Bird

3d Judge for the Regr

R

To the Collector of
Madura

Sir,

The enclosed copy of a letter from my Head Assistant contains a suggestion for the adjustment of the disputed boundary between Mothagusingramum & Parraypoor Zamindary which if acted upon will probably set the dispute at rest — I am not aware that the Complainants under your jurisdiction have asked for a [illegible] in the case — If you concur in the suggestion made by Mr. [illegible] I will direct one of the Peshkars of [illegible] to be in attendance at such places and time as you may determine, with authority to cooperate with a person deputed by you for settling the boundary under the provisions of Section LI Regulation XI A.D. 1816.

Tinnevelly
[illegible] November 1824
Cd
T. Srilachenam[?]
first Writer

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most Obed't Serv't
Wm. [illegible]
Collector

From the Collector
of Tinnevelly
11 November 1824

To

The Collector of

Tinnevelly

Sir,

In reference to the circumstan
ces noticed in the urzee accompanying the
letter from the Collector of Madura under date
the 23rd of last September I have the honor to
inform you that I have interrogated the
Zemindar on the above subject, and that he
defends the alledged acts by stating that
the lands in question had been decreed to
him by a Punchayet, this I find to be
false but in a letter dated September 19th
1822 from the Tasildar of Streevillyputtoor,
the duplicate of which he mentions having
sent to the Collector of Madura it is

stated

stated that the lands in dispute belong to the Zemindar.

As much annoyance and loss may result from delay in setting the question at rest, and as the Zemindar has declined submitting the matter to a Panchayet I take the liberty of suggesting that a Tehsildar or other creditable servant be deputed from each of the respective Districts at such time as Mr. Peter may fix to ascertain the disputed boundaries with the assistance of the Kurnams and the necessary number of Measurers to be paid at the expence of the party cast and that Copies of the Accounts signed by the persons deputed as above be sent to the respective Collectors for the guidance of the parties concerned.

R

I have mean while cautioned the Zemindar against interfering in the affairs of the disputed lands but he does not usually heed such cautions and I would therefore suggest as the best means of obviating his aggressions that he be made to pay the penalty to which he may be liable to compensate the party which may be aggrieved under the provisions of Regulation V 1822.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,
(Signed) C. Bannerman
A. Collector

[T]innevelly
[..] November 1824

(A true Copy)

[signature]
Collector

4 No. 3 R

To the Collector in the Zillah of

Moorshedabad

Sir,

Recd 1. I have the honor to state that the Mandar of [illegible] has reported to me that when the Inhabitants of [illegible] and [illegible] were [illegible] on the [illegible] of 20 additional [illegible] of land [illegible] the [illegible] of the Zemindar of [illegible] [illegible] under [illegible] that the [illegible] to be cultivated belonged to the Village of [illegible]. On examining the [illegible] the [illegible] found that they were [illegible] in the [illegible] of the Collector and that the land is attached to the Village of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] that the [illegible] Village is your Collectorate and thus [illegible] settle the Dispute by the usual [illegible] [illegible] an Oath [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

9/12/83

which was first consented by and after

Gunnoin [illegible] was sent for the purpose of

making the survey thereof, when they

[illegible] sent on Survey Villages with about [illegible]

Persons who spoil the Crops to the Inhabitant[illegible]

Inhabitant of the Village

The Thasildar further reports

that the other Villages in the District

the Zemindar of Cuttack has taken for

[illegible] of land attached to them

I will thank you to communicate

the subject of the matter that whether

it is [illegible], and if any one can be

[illegible] to settle the difference about

the Right to the spot of land which

was about to be cultivated with land

the Thasildar to my [illegible]

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obedient [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Circle Cutchery (?)

11th November 1824

9.